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#### Abstract

Possible applications of category theory to quantum TGD are discussed. The so called 2plectic structure generalizing the ordinary symplectic structure by replacing symplectic 2-form with 3 -form and Hamiltonians with Hamiltonian 1-forms has a natural place in TGD since the dynamics of the light-like 3 -surfaces is characterized by Chern-Simons type action. The notion of planar operad was developed for the classification of hyper-finite factors of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ and its mild generalization allows to understand the combinatorics of the generalized Feynman diagrams obtained by gluing 3-D light-like surfaces representing the lines of Feynman diagrams along their 2-D ends representing the vertices.

The fusion rules for the symplectic variant of conformal field theory, whose existence is strongly suggested by quantum TGD, allow rather precise description using the basic notions of category theory and one can identify a series of finite-dimensional nilpotent algebras as discretized versions of field algebras defined by the fusion rules. These primitive fusion algebras can be used to construct more complex algebras by replacing any algebra element by a primitive fusion algebra. Trees with arbitrary numbers of branches in any node characterize the resulting collection of fusion algebras forming an operad. One can say that an exact solution of symplectic scalar field theory is obtained.

Conformal fields and symplectic scalar field can be combined to form symplecto-formal fields. The combination of symplectic operad and Feynman graph operad leads to a construction of Feynman diagrams in terms of n-point functions of conformal field theory. Mmatrix elements with a finite measurement resolution are expressed in terms of a hierarchy of symplecto-conformal n-point functions such that the improvement of measurement resolution corresponds to an algebra homomorphism mapping conformal fields in given resolution to composite conformal fields in improved resolution. This expresses the idea that composites behave as independent conformal fields. Also other applications are briefly discussed.


## 1 Introduction

TGD predicts several hierarchical structures involving a lot of new physics. These structures look frustratingly complex and category theoretical thinking might help to build a bird's eye view about the situation. I have already earlier considered the question how category theory might be applied in TGD [E7, C3, A7]. Besides the far from complete understanding of the basic mathematical structure of TGD also my own limited understanding of category theoretical ideas have been a serious limitation. During last years considerable progress in the understanding of quantum TGD proper has taken place and the recent formulation of TGD is in terms of light-like 3 -surfaces, zero energy ontology and number theoretic braids [5, 6]. There exist also rather detailed formulations for the fusion of p -adic and real physics and for the dark matter hierarchy. This motivates a fresh look to how category theory might help to understand quantum TGD.

The fusion rules for the symplectic variant of conformal field theory, whose existence is strongly suggested by quantum TGD, allow rather precise description using the basic notions of category theory and one can identify a series of finite-dimensional nilpotent algebras as discretized versions of field algebras defined by the fusion rules. These primitive fusion algebras can be used to construct more complex algebras by replacing any algebra element by a primitive fusion algebra. Trees with arbitrary numbers of branches in any node characterize the resulting collection of fusion algebras forming an operad. One can say that an exact solution of symplectic scalar field theory is obtained.

Conformal fields and symplectic scalar field can be combined to form symplecto-formal fields. The combination of symplectic operad and Feynman graph operad leads to a construction of Feynman diagrams in terms of n-point functions of conformal field theory. M-matrix elements with a finite measurement resolution are expressed in terms of a hierarchy of symplecto-conformal n-point functions such that the improvement of measurement resolution corresponds to an algebra homomorphis mapping conformal fields in given resolution to composite conformal fields in improved resolution. This expresses the idea that composites behave as independent conformal fields. Also other applications are briefly discussed.

For the sake of completeness I have included to the beginning of this chapter also the section "S-matrix as a functor" from the chapter "Construction of Quantum TGD: S-matrix" [C3]. The rest of the material is new.

## 2 S-matrix as a functor

John Baez's [14] discusses in a physicist friendly manner the possible application of category theory to physics. The lessons obtained from the construction of topological quantum field theories (TQFTs) suggest that category theoretical thinking might be very useful in attempts to construct theories of quantum gravitation.

The point is that the Hilbert spaces associated with the initial and final state n -1-manifold of n-cobordism indeed form in a natural manner category. Morphisms of Hilb in turn are unitary or possibly more general maps between Hilbert spaces. TQFT itself is a functor assigning to a cobordism the counterpart of S-matrix between the Hilbert spaces associated with the initial and final $\mathrm{n}-1$-manifold. The surprising result is that for $n \leq 4$ the S-matrix can be unitary S-matrix only if the cobordism is trivial. This should lead even string theorist to raise some worried questions.

In the hope of feeding some category theoretic thinking into my spine, I briefly summarize some of the category theoretical ideas discussed in the article and relate it to the TGD vision, and after that discuss the worried questions from TGD perspective. That space-time makes sense only relative to imbedding space would conform with category theoretic thinking.

### 2.1 The *-category of Hilbert spaces

Baez considers first the category of Hilbert spaces. Intuitively the definition of this category looks obvious: take linear spaces as objects in category Set, introduce inner product as additional structure and identify morphisms as maps preserving this inner product. In finite-D case the category with inner product is however identical to the linear category so that the inner product does not seem to be absolutely essential. Baez argues that in infinite-D case the morphisms need not be restricted to unitary transformations: one can consider also bounded linear operators as morphisms since they play key role in quantum theory (consider only observables as Hermitian operators). For hyper-finite factors of type $I I_{1}$ inclusions define very important morphisms which are not unitary transformations but very similar to them. This challenges the belief about the fundamental role of unitarity and raises the question about how to weaken the unitarity condition without losing everything.

The existence of the inner product is essential only for the metric topology of the Hilbert space. Can one do without inner product as an inherent property of state space and reduce it to a morphism? One can indeed express inner product in terms of morphisms from complex numbers to Hilbert space and their conjugates. For any state $\Psi$ of Hilbert space there is a unique morphisms $T_{\Psi}$ from C to Hilbert space satisfying $T_{\Psi}(1)=\Psi$. If one assumes that these morphisms have conjugates $T_{\Psi}^{*}$ mapping Hilbert space to C , inner products can be defined as morphisms $T_{\Phi}^{*} T_{\Psi}$. The Hermitian conjugates of operators can be defined with respect to this inner product so that one obtains *-category. Reader has probably realized that $T_{\Psi}$ and its conjugate correspond to ket and bra in Dirac's formalism.

Note that in TGD framework based on hyper-finite factors of type $I I_{1}$ (HFFs) the inclusions of complex rays might be replaced with inclusions of HFFs with included factor representing the finite measurement resolution. Note also the analogy of inner product with the representation of space-times as 4 -surfaces of the imbedding space in TGD.

### 2.2 The monoidal *-category of Hilbert spaces and its counterpart at the level of nCob

One can give the category of Hilbert spaces a structure of monoid by introducing explicitly the tensor products of Hilbert spaces. The interpretation is obvious for physicist. Baez describes the details of this identification, which are far from trivial and in the theory of quantum groups very interesting things happen. A non-commutative quantum version of the tensor product implying braiding is possible and associativity condition leads to the celebrated Yang-Baxter equations: inclusions of HFFs lead to quantum groups too.

At the level of $n$ Cob the counterpart of the tensor product is disjoint union of $n-1$-manifolds. This unavoidably creates the feeling of cosmic loneliness. Am I really a disjoint 3 -surface in emptiness which is not vacuum even in the geometric sense? Cannot be true!

This horrifying sensation disappears if n-1-manifolds are n -1-surfaces in some higher-dimensional imbedding space so that there would be at least something between them. I can emit a little baby manifold moving somewhere perhaps being received by some-one somewhere and I can receive radiation from some-one at some distance and in some direction as small baby manifolds making gentle tosses on my face!

This consoling feeling could be seen as one of the deep justifications for identifying fundamental objects as light-like partonic 3 -surfaces in TGD framework. Their ends correspond to 2-D partonic surfaces at the boundaries of future or past directed light-cones (states of positive and negative energy respectively) and are indeed disjoint but not in the desperately existential sense as 3geometries of General Relativity.

This disjointness has also positive aspect in TGD framework. One can identify the color degrees of freedom of partons as those associated with $C P_{2}$ degrees of freedom. For instance, $\mathrm{SU}(3)$ analogs
for rotational states of rigid body become possible. 4-D space-time surfaces as preferred extremals of Kähler action connect the partonic 3-surfaces and bring in classical representation of correlations and thus of interactions. The representation as sub-manifolds makes it also possible to speak about positions of these sub-Universes and about distances between them. The habitants of TGD Universe are maximally free but not completely alone.

### 2.3 TQFT as a functor

The category theoretic formulation of TQFT relies on a very elegant and general idea. Quantum transition has as a space-time correlate an n-dimensional surface having initial final states as its n-1-dimensional ends. One assigns Hilbert spaces of states to the ends and S-matrix would be a unitary morphism between the ends. This is expressed in terms of the category theoretic language by introducing the category nCob with objects identified as n-1-manifolds and morphisms as cobordisms and *-category Hilb consisting of Hilbert spaces with inner product and morphisms which are bounded linear operators which do not however preserve the unitarity. Note that the morphisms of nCob cannot anymore be identified as maps between n-1-manifolds interpreted as sets with additional structure so that in this case category theory is more powerful than set theory.

TQFT is identified as a functor $\mathrm{nCob} \rightarrow$ Hilb assigning to $\mathrm{n}-1$-manifolds Hilbert spaces, and to cobordisms unitary S-matrices in the category Hilb. This looks nice but the surprise is that for $n \leq 4$ unitary S-matrix exists only if the cobordism is trivial so that topology changing transitions are not possible unless one gives up unitarity.

This raises several worried questions.

1. Does this result mean that in TQFT sense unitary S-matrix for topology changing transitions from a state containing $n_{i}$ closed strings to a state containing $n_{f} \neq n_{i}$ strings does not exist? Could the situation be same also for more general non-topological stringy S-matrices? Could the non-converging perturbation series for S-matrix with finite individual terms matrix fail to no non-perturbative counterpart? Could it be that M-theory is doomed to remain a dream with no hope of being fulfilled?
2. Should one give up the unitarity condition and require that the theory predicts only the relative probabilities of transitions rather than absolute rates? What the proper generalization of the S-matrix could be?
3. What is the relevance of this result for quantum TGD?

### 2.4 The situation is in TGD framework

The result about the non-existence of unitary S-matrix for topology changing cobordisms allows new insights about the meaning of the departures of TGD from string models.

### 2.4.1 Cobordism cannot give interesting selection rules

When I started to work with TGD for more than 28 years ago, one of the first ideas was that one could identify the selection rules of quantum transitions as topological selection rules for cobordisms. Within week or two came the great disappointment: there were practically no selection rules. Could one revive this naive idea? Could the existence of unitary S-matrix force the topological selection rules after all? I am skeptic. If I have understood correctly the discussion of what happens in 4-D case [7] only the exotic diffeo-structures modify the situation in 4-D case.

### 2.4.2 Light-like 3 -surfaces allow cobordism

In the physically interesting GRT like situation one would expect the cobordism to be mediated by a space-time surface possessing Lorentz signature. This brings in metric and temporal distance. This means complications since one must leave the pure TQFT context. Also the classical dynamics of quantum gravitation brings in strong selection rules related to the dynamics in metric degrees of freedom so that TQFT approach is not expected to be useful from the point of view of quantum gravity and certainly not the limit of a realistic theory of quantum gravitation.

In TGD framework situation is different. 4-D space-time sheets can have Euclidian signature of the induced metric so that Lorentz signature does not pose conditions. The counterparts of cobordisms correspond at fundamental level to light-like 3-surfaces, which are arbitrarily except for the light-likeness condition (the effective 2-dimensionality implies generalized conformal invariance and analogy with 3 -D black-holes since 3-D vacuum Einstein equations are satisfied). Field equations defined by the Chern-Simons action imply that $C P_{2}$ projection is at most 2-D but this condition holds true only for the extremals and one has functional integral over all light-like 3surfaces. The temporal distance between points along light-like 3 -surface vanishes. The constraints from light-likeness bring in metric degrees of freedom but in a very gentle manner and just to make the theory physically interesting.

### 2.4.3 Feynmann cobordism as opposed to ordinary cobordism

In string model context the discouraging results from TQFT hold true in the category of nCob, which corresponds to trouser diagrams for closed strings or for their open string counterparts. In TGD framework these diagrams are replaced with a direct generalization of Feynman diagrams for which 3-D light-like partonic 3-surfaces meet along their 2-D ends at the vertices. In honor of Feynman one could perhaps speak of Feynman cobordisms. These surfaces are singular as 3manifolds but vertices are nice 2-manifolds. I contrast to this, in string models diagrams are nice 2-manifolds but vertices are singular as 1-manifolds (say eye-glass type configurations for closed strings).

This picture gains a strong support for the interpretation of fermions as light-like throats associated with connected sums of $C P_{2}$ type extremals with space-time sheets with Minkowski signature and of bosons as pairs of light-like wormhole throats associated with $C P_{2}$ type extremal connecting two space-time sheets with Minkowski signature of induced metric. The space-time sheets have opposite time orientations so that also zero energy ontology emerges unavoidably. There is also consistency TGD based explanation of the family replication phenomenon in terms of genus of light-like partonic 2-surfaces.

One can wonder what the 4-D space-time sheets associated with the generalized Feynman diagrams could look like? One can try to gain some idea about this by trying to assign 2-D surfaces to ordinary Feynman diagrams having a subset of lines as boundaries. In the case of $2 \rightarrow 2$ reaction open string is pinched to a point at vertex. $1 \rightarrow 2$ vertex, and quite generally, vertices with odd number of lines, are impossible. The reason is that 1-D manifolds of finite size can have either 0 or 2 ends whereas in higher-D the number of boundary components is arbitrary. What one expects to happen in TGD context is that wormhole throats which are at distance characterized by $C P_{2}$ fuse together in the vertex so that some kind of pinches appear also now.

### 2.4.4 Zero energy ontology

Zero energy ontology gives rise to a second profound distinction between TGD and standard QFT. Physical states are identified as states with vanishing net quantum numbers, in particular energy. Everything is creatable from vacuum - and one could add- by intentional action so that zero energy ontology is profoundly Eastern. Positive resp. negative energy parts of states can be identified
as states associated with 2-D partonic surfaces at the boundaries of future resp. past directed light-cones, whose tips correspond to the arguments of n-point functions. Each incoming/outgoing particle would define a mini-cosmology corresponding to not so big bang/crunch. If the time scale of perception is much shorter than time interval between positive and zero energy states, the ontology looks like the Western positive energy ontology. Bras and kets correspond naturally to the positive and negative energy states and phase conjugation for laser photons making them indeed something which seems to travel in opposite time direction is counterpart for bra-ket duality.

The new element would be quantum measurements performed separately for observables assignable to positive and negative energy states. These measurements would be characterized in terms of Jones inclusions. The state function reduction for the negative energy states could be interpreted as a detection of a particle reaction.

### 2.4.5 Finite temperature S-matrix defines genuine quantum state in zero energy ontology

In TGD framework one encounters two S-matrix like operators.

1. There is U-matrix between zero energy states. This is expected to be rather trivial but very important from the point of view of description of intentional actions as transitions transforming p -adic partonic 3 -surfaces to their real counterparts.
2. The S-matrix like operator describing what happens in laboratory corresponds to the timelike entanglement coefficients between positive and negative energy parts of the state. Measurement of reaction rates would be a measurement of observables reducing time like entanglement and very much analogous to an ordinary quantum measurement reducing space-like entanglement. There is a finite measurement resolution described by inclusion of HFFs and this means that situation reduces effectively to a finite-dimensional one.
p-Adic thermodynamics strengthened with p-adic length scale hypothesis predicts particle masses with an amazing success. At first the thermodynamical approach seems to be in contradiction with the idea that elementary particles are quantal objects. Unitarity is however not necessary if one accepts that only relative probabilities for reductions to pairs of initial and final states interpreted as particle reactions can be measured.

The beneficial implications of unitarity are not lost if one replaces QFT with thermal QFT. Category theoretically this would mean that the time-like entanglement matrix associated with the product of cobordisms is a product of these matrices for the factors. The time parameter in S-matrix would be replaced with a complex time parameter with the imaginary part identified as inverse temperature. Hence the interpretation in terms of time evolution is not lost.

In the theory of hyper-finite factors of type $I I I_{1}$ the partition function for thermal equilibrium states and S-matrix can be neatly fused to a thermal S-matrix for zero energy states and one could introduce p-adic thermodynamics at the level of quantum states. It seems that this picture applies to HFFs by restriction. Therefore the loss of unitarity S-matrix might after all turn to a victory by more or less forcing both zero energy ontology and p-adic thermodynamics. Note that also the presence of factor of type I coming from imbedding space degrees of freedom forces thermal S-matrix.

### 2.4.6 Time-like entanglement coefficients as a square root of density matrix?

All quantum states do not correspond to thermal states and one can wonder what might be the most general identification of the quantum state in zero energy ontology. Density matrix formalism defines a very general formulation of quantum theory. Since the quantum states in zero energy
ontology are analogous to operators, the idea that time-like entanglement coefficients in some sense define a square root of density matrix is rather natural. This would give the defining conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho^{+} & =S S^{\dagger}, \rho^{-}=S^{\dagger} S \\
\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{ \pm}\right) & =1 \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

$\rho^{ \pm}$would define density matrix for positive/negative energy states. In the case HFFs of type $I I_{1}$ one obtains unitary S-matrix and also the analogs of pure quantum states are possible for factors of type I. The numbers $p_{m, n}^{+}=\left|S_{m, n}^{2}\right| / \rho_{m, m}^{+}$and $p_{m, n}^{-}=\left|S_{n, m}^{2}\right| / \rho_{m, m}^{-}$give the counterparts of the usual scattering probabilities.

A physically well-motivated hypothesis would be that $S$ has expression $S=\sqrt{\rho} S_{0}$ such that $S_{0}$ is a universal unitary S-matrix, and $\sqrt{\rho}$ is square root of a state dependent density matrix. Note that in general $S$ is not diagonalizable in the algebraic extension involved so that it is not possible to reduce the scattering to a mere phase change by a suitable choice of state basis.

What makes this kind of hypothesis aesthetically attractive is the unification of two fundamental matrices of quantum theory to single one. This unification is completely analogous to the combination of modulus squared and phase of complex number to a single complex number: complex valued Schrödinger amplitude is replaced with operator valued one.

### 2.4.7 S-matrix as a functor and the groupoid structure formed by S-matrices

In zero energy ontology S-matrix can be seen as a functor from the category of Feynman cobordisms to the category of operators. S-matrix can be identified as a "square root" of the positive energy density matrix $S=\rho_{+}^{1 / 2} S_{0}$, where $S_{0}$ is a unitary matrix and $\rho_{+}$is the density matrix for positive energy part of the zero energy state. Obviously one has $S S^{\dagger}=\rho_{+} . S^{\dagger} S=\rho_{-}$gives the density matrix for negative energy part of zero energy state. Clearly, S-matrix can be seen as matrix valued generalization of Schrö;dinger amplitude. Note that the "indices" of the S-matrices correspond to configuration space spinors (fermions and their bound states giving rise to gauge bosons and gravitons) and to configuration space degrees of freedom (world of classical worlds). For hyper-finite factor of $I I_{1}$ it is not strictly speaking possible to speak about indices since the matrix elements are traces of the S-matrix multiplied by projection operators to infinite-dimensional subspaces from right and left.

The functor property of S-matrices implies that they form a multiplicative structure analogous but not identical to groupoid [8]. Recall that groupoid has associative product and there exist always right and left inverses and identity in the sense that $f f^{-1}$ and $f^{-1} f$ are always defined but not identical and one has $f g g^{-1}=f$ and $f^{-1} f g=g$.

The reason for the groupoid like property is that $S$-matrix is a map between state spaces associated with initial and final sets of partonic surfaces and these state spaces are different so that inverse must be replaced with right and left inverse. The defining conditions for groupoid are replaced with more general ones. Also now associativity holds but the role of inverse is taken by hermitian conjugate. Thus one has the conditions $f g g^{\dagger}=f \rho_{g,+}$ and $f^{\dagger} f g=\rho_{f,-} g$, and the conditions $f f^{\dagger}=\rho_{+}$and $f^{\dagger} f=\rho_{-}$are satisfied. Here $\rho_{ \pm}$is density matrix associated with positive/negative energy parts of zero energy state. If the inverses of the density matrices exist, groupoid axioms hold true since $f_{L}^{-1}=f^{\dagger} \rho_{f,+}^{-1}$ satisfies $f f_{L}^{-1}=I d_{+}$and $f_{R}^{-1}=\rho_{f,-}^{-1} f^{\dagger}$ satisfies $f_{R}^{-1} f=I d_{-}$.

There are good reasons to believe that also tensor product of its appropriate generalization to the analog of co-product makes sense with non-triviality characterizing the interaction between the systems of the tensor product. If so, the S-matrices would form very beautiful mathematical structure bringing in mind the corresponding structures for 2 -tangles and N-tangles. Knowing how incredibly powerful the group like structures have been in physics one has good reasons to
hope that groupoid like structure might help to deduce a lot of information about the quantum dynamics of TGD.

A word about nomenclature is in order. $S$ has strong associations to unitarity and it might be appropriate to replace $S$ with some other letter. The interpretation of S-matrix as a generalized Schrödinger amplitude would suggest $\Psi$-matrix. Since the interaction with Kea's M-theory blog at http://kea-monad.blogspot.com/ ( $M$ denotes Monad or Motif in this context) was led ot the realization of the connection with density matrix, also $M$-matrix might be considered. S-matrix as a functor from the category of Feynman cobordisms in turn suggests C or F. Or could just Matrix denoted by $M$ in formulas be enough? Certainly it would inspire feeling of awe!

## 3 Further ideas

The work of John Baez and students has inspired also the following ideas about the role of category theory in TGD.

### 3.1 Operads, number theoretical braids, and inclusions of HFFs

The description of braids leads naturally to category theory and quantum groups when the braiding operation, which can be regarded as a functor, is not a mere permutation. Discreteness is a natural notion in the category theoretical context. To me the most natural manner to interpret discreteness is - not something emerging in Planck scale- but as a correlate for a finite measurement resolution and quantum measurement theory with finite measurement resolution leads naturally to number theoretical braids as fundamental discrete structures so that category theoretic approach becomes well-motivated. Discreteness is also implied by the number theoretic approach to quantum TGD from number theoretic associativity condition [5] central also for category theoretical thinking as well as from the realization of number theoretical universality by the fusion of real and p-adic physics to single coherent whole.

Operads are formally single object multi-categories [10, 18]. This object consist of an infinite sequence of sets of $n$-ary operations. These operations can be composed and the compositions are associative (operations themselves need not be associative) in the sense that the is natural isomorphism (symmetries) mapping differently bracketed compositions to each other. The coherence laws for operads formulate the effect of permutations and bracketing (association) as functors acting as natural isomorphisms. A simple manner to visualize the composition is as an addition of $n_{1}, \ldots n_{k}$ leaves to the leaves $1, \ldots, k$ of k -leaved tree.

An interesting example of operad is the braid operad formulating the combinatorics for a hierarchy of braids formed from braids by grouping subsets of braids having $n_{1}, \ldots n_{k}$ strands and defining the strands of a $k$-braid. In TGD framework this grouping can be identified in terms of the formation bound states of particles topologically condensed at larger space-time sheet and coherence laws allow to deduce information about scattering amplitudes. In conformal theories braided categories indeed allow to understand duality of stringy amplitudes in terms of associativity condition.

Planar operads [12] define an especially interesting class of operads. The reason is that the inclusions of HFFs give rise to a special kind of planar operad [11]. The object of this multicategory [13] consists of planar k-tangles. Planar operads are accompanied by planar algebras. It will be found that planar operads allow a generalization which could provide a description for the combinatorics of the generalized Feynman diagrams and also rigorous formulation for how the arrow of time emerges in TGD framework and related heuristic ideas challenging the standard views.

### 3.2 Generalized Feynman diagram as category?

John Baez has proposed a category theoretical formulation of quantum field theory as a functor from the category of n-cobordisms to the category of Hilbert spaces [14, 15]. The attempt to generalize this formulation looks well motivated in TGD framework because TGD can be regarded as almost topological quantum field theory in a well defined sense and braids appear as fundamental structures. It however seems that formulation as a functor from nCob to Hilb is not general enough.

In zero energy ontology events of ordinary ontology become quantum states with positive and negative energy parts of quantum states localizable to the upper and lower light-like boundaries of causal diamond (CD).

1. Generalized Feynman diagrams associated with a given $C D$ involve quantum superposition of light-like 3-surfaces corresponding to given generalized Feynman diagram. These superpositions could be seen as categories with 3-D light-like surfaces containing braids as arrows and 2-D vertices as objects. Zero energy states would represent quantum superposition of categories (different topologies of generalized Feynman diagram) and M-matrix defined as Connes tensor product would define a functor from this category to the Hilbert space of zero energy states for given $C D$ (tensor product defines quite generally a functor).
2. What is new from the point of view of physics that the sequences of generalized lines would define compositions of arrows and morphisms having identification in terms of braids which replicate in vertices. The possible interpretation of the replication is in terms of copying of information in classical sense so that even elementary particles would be information carrying and processing structures. This structure would be more general than the proposal of John Baez that S-matrix corresponds to a function from the category of $n$-dimensional cobordisms to the category Hilb.
3. p-Adic length scale hypothesis follows if the temporal distance between the tips of $C D$ measured as light-cone proper time comes as an octave of $C P_{2}$ time scale: $T=2^{n} T_{0}$. This assumption implies that the p-adic length scale resolution interpreted in terms of a hierarchy of increasing measurement resolutions comes as octaves of time scale.

This preliminary picture is of course not far complete since it applies only to single $C D$. There are several questions. Can one allow $C D \mathrm{~s}$ within $C D \mathrm{~s}$ and is every vertex of generalized Feynman diagram surrounded by this kind of $C D$. Can one form unions of $C D$ s freely?

1. Since light-like 3 -surfaces in 8 -D imbedding space have no intersections in the generic position, one could argue that the overlap must be allowed and makes possible the interaction of between zero energy states belonging to different $C D \mathrm{~s}$. This interaction would be something new and present also for sub- $C D$ s of a given $C D$.
2. The simplest guess is that the unrestricted union of $C D \mathrm{~s}$ defines the counterpart of tensor product at geometric level and that extended M-matrix is a functor from this category to the tensor product of zero energy state spaces. For non-overlapping $C D$ s ordinary tensor product could be in question and for overlapping $C D$ s tensor product would be non-trivial. One could interpret this M-matrix as an arrow between M-matrices of zero energy states at different $C D \mathrm{~s}$ : the analog of natural transformation mapping two functors to each other. This hierarchy could be continued ad infinitum and would correspond to the hierarchy of n-categories.

This rough heuristics represents of course only one possibility among many since the notion of category is extremely general and the only limits are posed by the imagination of the mathematician. Also the view about zero energy states is still rather primitive.

## 4 Planar operads, the notion of finite measurement resolution, and arrow of geometric time

In the sequel the idea that planar operads or their appropriate generalization might allow to formulate generalized Feynman diagrammatics in zero energy ontology will be considered. Also a description of measurement resolution and arrow of geometric time in terms of operads is discussed.

### 4.1 Zeroth order heuristics about zero energy states

Consider now the existing heuristic picture about the zero energy states and coupling constant evolution provided by $C D$ s.

1. The tentative description for the increase of the measurement resolution in terms $C D$ s is that one inserts to the upper and/or lower light-like boundary of $C D$ smaller $C D$ s by gluing them along light-like radial ray from the tip of $C D$. It is also possible that the vertices of generalized Feynman diagrams belong inside smaller $C D$ :s and it turns out that these $C D$ :s must be allowed.
2. The considerations related to the arrow of geometric time suggest that there is asymmetry between upper and lower boundaries of $C D$. The minimum requirement is that the measurement resolution is better at upper light-like boundary.
3. In zero energy ontology communications to the direction of geometric past are possible and phase conjugate laser photons represent one example of this.
4. Second law of thermodynamics must be generalized in such a manner that it holds with respect to subjective time identified as sequence of quantum jumps. The arrow of geometric time can however vary so that apparent breaking of second law is possible in shorter time scales at least. One must however understand why second law holds true in so good an approximation.
5. One must understand also why the contents of sensory experience is concentrated around a narrow time interval whereas the time scale of memories and anticipation are much longer. The proposed mechanism is that the resolution of conscious experience is higher at the upper boundary of $C D$. Since zero energy states correspond to light-like 3 -surfaces, this could be a result of self-organization rather than a fundamental physical law.
(a) $C D$ s define the perceptive field for self. Selves are curious about the space-time sheets outside their perceptive field in the geometric future of the imbedding space and perform quantum jumps tending to shift the superposition of the space-time sheets to the direction of geometric past (past defined as the direction of shift!). This creates the illusion that there is a time=snapshot front of consciousness moving to geometric future in fixed background space-time as an analog of train illusion.
(b) The fact that news come from the upper boundary of $C D$ implies that self concentrates its attention to this region and improves the resolutions of sensory experience and quantum measurement here. The sub- $C D$ :s generated in this manner correspond to mental images with contents about this region. As a consequence, the contents of conscious experience, in particular sensory experience, tend to be about the region near the upper boundary.
(c) This mechanism in principle allows the arrow of the geometric time to vary and depend on p-adic length scale and the level of dark matter hierarchy. The occurrence of phase
transitions forcing the arrow of geometric time to be same everywhere are however plausible for the reason that the lower and upper boundaries of given $C D$ must possess the same arrow of geometric time.
(d) If this is the mechanism behind the arrow of time, planar operads can provide a description of the arrow of time but not its explanation.

This picture is certainly not general enough, can be wrong at the level of details, and at best relates to the the whole like single particle wave mechanics to quantum field theory.

### 4.2 Planar operads

The geometric definition of planar operads $[10,11,12,17]$ without using the category theoretical jargon goes as follows.

1. There is an external disk and some internal disks and a collection of disjoint lines connecting disk boundaries.
2. To each disk one attaches a non-negative integer $k$, called the color of disk. The disk with color $k$ has $k$ points at each boundary with the labeling $1,2, \ldots k$ running clockwise and starting from a distinguished marked point, decorated by ${ }^{*} *$. A more restrictive definition is that disk colors are correspond to even numbers so that there are $k=2 n$ points lines leaving the disk boundary boundary. The planar tangles with $k=2 n$ correspond to inclusions of HFFs.
3. Each curve is either closed (no common points with disk boundaries) or joins a marked point to another marked point. Each marked point is the end point of exactly one curve.
4. The picture is planar meaning that the curves cannot intersect and diks cannot overlap.
5. Disks differing by isotopies preserving *'s are equivalent.

Given a planar k-tangle-one of whose internal disks has color $k_{i^{-}}$and a $k_{i}$-tangle $S$, one can define the tangle $T \circ_{i} S$ by isotoping $S$ so that its boundary, together with the marked points and the ${ }^{*}$ 's co-indices with that of $D_{i}$ and after that erase the boundary of $D_{i}$. The collection of planar tangle together with the the composition defined in this manner- is called the colored operad of planar tangles.

One can consider also generalizations of planar operads.

1. The composition law is not affected if the lines of operads branch outside the disks. Branching could be allowed even at the boundaries of the disks although this does not correspond to a generic situation. One might call these operads branched operads.
2. The composition law could be generalized to allow additional lines connecting the points at the boundary of the added disk so that each composition would bring in something genuinely new. Zero energy insertion could correspond to this kind of insertions.
3. TGD picture suggests also the replacement of lines with braids. In category theoretical terms this means that besides association one allows also permutations of the points at the boundaries of the disks.

The question is whether planar operads or their appropriate generalizations could allow a characterization of the generalized Feynman diagrams representing the combinatorics of zero energy states in zero energy ontology and whether also the emergence of arrow of time could be described (but probably not explained) in this framework.

### 4.3 Planar operads and zero energy states

Are planar operads sufficiently powerful to code the vision about the geometric correlates for the increase of the measurement resolution and coupling constant evolution formulated in terms of $C D \mathrm{~s}$ ? Or perhaps more realistically, could one improve this formulation by assuming that zero energy states correspond to wave functions in the space of planar tangles or of appropriate modifications of them? It seems that the answer to the first question is almost affirmative.

1. Disks are analogous to the white regions of a map whose details are not visible in the measurement resolution used. Disks correspond to causal diamonds ( $C D \mathrm{~s}$ ) in zero energy ontology. Physically the white regions relate to the vertices of the generalized Feynman diagrams and possibly also to the initial and final states (strictly speaking, the initial and final states correspond to the legs of generalized Feynman diagrams rather than their ends).
2. The composition of tangles means addition of previously unknown details to a given white region of the map and thus to an increase of the measurement resolution. This conforms with the interpretation of inclusions of HFFs as a characterization of finite measurement resolution and raises the hope that planar operads or their appropriate generalization could provide the proper language to describe coupling constant evolution and their perhaps even generalized Feynman diagrams.
3. For planar operad there is an asymmetry between the outer disk and inner disks. One might hope that this asymmetry could explain or at least allow to describe the arrow of time. This is not the case. If the disks correspond to causal diamonds $(C D \mathrm{~s})$ carrying positive resp. negative energy part of zero energy state at upper resp. lower light-cone boundary, the TGD counterpart of the planar tangle is $C D$ containing smaller $C D$ :s inside it. The smaller $C D$ :s contain negative energy particles at their upper boundary and positive energy particles at their lower boundary. In the ideal resolution vertices represented 2-dimensional partonic at which light-like 3 -surfaces meet become visible. There is no inherent asymmetry between positive and negative energies and no inherent arrow of geometric time at the fundamental level. It is however possible to model the arrow of time by the distribution of sub- $C D: s$. By previous arguments self-organization of selves can lead to zero energy states for which the measurement resolution is better near the upper boundary of the $C D$.
4. If the lines carry fermion or anti-fermion number, the number of lines entering to a given $C D$ must be even as in the case of planar operads as the following argument shows.
(a) In TGD framework elementary fermions correspond to single wormhole throat associated with topologically condensed $C P_{2}$ type extremal and the signature of the induced metric changes at the throat.
(b) Elementary bosons correspond to pairs of wormhole throats associated with wormhole contacts connecting two space-time sheets of opposite time orientation and modellable as a piece of $C P_{2}$ type extremal. Each boson therefore corresponds to 2 lines within $C P_{2}$ radius.
(c) As a consequence the total number of lines associated with given $C D$ is even and the generalized Feynman diagrams can correspond to a planar algebra associated with an inclusion of HFFs.
5. This picture does not yet describe zero energy insertions.
(a) The addition of zero energy insertions corresponds intuitively to the allowance of new lines inside the smaller $C D: s$ not coming from the exterior. The addition of lines connecting points at the boundary of disk is possible without losing the basic geometric
composition of operads. In particular one does not lose the possibility to color the added tangle using two colors (colors correspond to two groups $G$ and $H$ which characterize an inclusion of HFFs [12]).
(b) There is however a problem. One cannot remove the boundaries of sub-CD after the composition of $C D \mathrm{~s}$ since this would give lines beginning from and ending to the interior of disk and they are invisible only in the original resolution. Physically this is of course what one wants but the inclusion of planar tangles is expected to fail in its original form, and one must generalize the composition of tangles to that of $C D: s$ so that the boundaries of sub- $C D$ :s are not thrown away in the process.
(c) It is easy to see that zero energy insertions are inconsistent with the composition of planar tangles. In the inclusion defining the composition of tangles both sub-tangle and tangle induce a color to a given segment of the inner disk. If these colors are identical, one can forget the presence of the boundary of the added tangle. When zero energy insertions are allowed, situation changes as is easy to see by adding a line connecting points in a segment of given color at the boundary of the included tangle. There exists no consistent coloring of the resulting structure by using only two colors. Coloring is however possible using four colors, which by four-color theorem is the minimum number of colors needed for a coloring of planar map: this however requires that the color can change as one moves through the boundary of the included disk - this is in accordance with the physical picture.
(d) Physical intuition suggests that zero energy insertion as an improvement of measurement resolution maps to an improved color resolution and that the composition of tangles generalizes by requiring that the included disk is colored by using new nuances of the original colors. The role of groups in the definition of inclusions of HFFs is consistent with idea that $G$ and $H$ describe color resolution in the sense that the colors obtained by their action cannot be resolved. If so, the improved resolution means that $G$ and $H$ are replaced by their subgroups $G_{1} \subset G$ and $H_{1} \subset H$. Since the elements of a subgroup have interpretation as elements of group, there are good hopes that by representing the inclusion of tangles as inclusion of groups, one can generalize the composition of tangles.
6. Also $C D$ :s glued along light-like ray to the upper and lower boundaries of $C D$ are possible in principle and -according the original proposal- correspond to zero energy insertions according. These $C D$ :s might be associated with the phase transitions changing the value of $\hbar$ leading to different pages of the book like structure defined by the generalized imbedding space.
7. p-Adic length scale hypothesis is realized if the hierarchy of $C D \mathrm{~s}$ corresponds to a hierarchy of temporal distances between tips of $C D$ s given as $a=T_{n}=2^{-n} T_{0}$ using light-cone proper time.
8. How this description relates to braiding? Each line corresponds to an orbit of a partonic boundary component and in principle one must allow internal states containing arbitrarily high fermion and antifermion numbers. Thus the lines decompose into braids and one must allow also braids of braids hierarchy so that each line corresponds to a braid operad in improved resolution.

### 4.4 Relationship to ordinary Feynman diagrammatics

The proposed description is not equivalent with the description based on ordinary Feynman diagrams.

1. In standard physics framework the resolution scale at the level of vertices of Feynman diagrams is something which one is forced to pose in practical calculations but cannot pose at will as opposed to the measurement resolution. Light-like 3 -surfaces can be however regarded only locally orbits of partonic 2-surfaces since generalized conformal invariance is true only in 3 -D patches of the light-like 3 -surface. This means that light-like 3 -surfaces are in principle the fundamental objects so that zero energy states can be regarded only locally as a time evolutions. Therefore measurement resolution can be applied also to the distances between vertices of generalized Feynman diagrams and calculational resolution corresponds to physical resolution. Also the resolution can be better towards upper boundary of $C D$ so that the arrow of geometric time can be understood. This is a definite prediction which can in principle kill the proposed scenario.
2. A further counter argument is that generalized Feynman diagrams are identified as lightlike 3 -surfaces for which Kähler function defined by a preferred extremal of Kähler action is maximum. Therefore one cannot pose any ad hoc rules on the positions of the vertices. One can of course insist that maximum of Kähler function with the constraint that posed by $T_{n}=2^{n} T_{0}$ hierarchy is in question.

It would be too optimistic to believe that the details of the proposal are correct. However, if the proposal is on correct track, zero energy states could be seen as wave functions in the operad of generalized tangles (zero energy insertions and braiding) as far as combinatorics is involved and the coherence rules for these operads would give strong constraints on the zero energy state and fix the general structure of coupling constant evolution.

## 5 Category theory and symplectic QFT

Besides the counterpart of the ordinary Kac-Moody invariance quantum TGD possesses so called super-canonical conformal invariance. This symmetry leads to the proposal that a symplectic variant of conformal field theory should exist. The n-point functions of this theory defined in $S^{2}$ should be expressible in terms of symplectic areas of triangles assignable to a set of n-points and satisfy the duality rules of conformal field theories guaranteing associativity. The crucial prediction is that symplectic n-point functions vanish whenever two arguments co-incide. This provides a mechanism guaranteing the finiteness of quantum TGD implied by very general arguments relying on non-locality of the theory at the level of 3-D surfaces.

The classical picture suggests that the generators of the fusion algebra formed by fields at different point of $S^{2}$ have this point as a continuous index. Finite quantum measurement resolution and category theoretic thinking in turn suggest that only the points of $S^{2}$ corresponding the strands of number theoretic braids are involved. It turns out that the category theoretic option works and leads to an explicit hierarchy of fusion algebras forming a good candidate for so called little disk operad whereas the first option has difficulties.

### 5.1 Fusion rules

Symplectic fusion rules are non-local and express the product of fields at two points $s_{k}$ an $s_{l}$ of $S^{2}$ as an integral over fields at point $s_{r}$, where integral can be taken over entire $S^{2}$ or possibly also over a 1-D curve which is symplectic invariant in some sense. Also discretized version of fusion rules makes sense and is expected serve as a correlate for finite measurement resolution.

By using the fusion rules one can reduce n-point functions to convolutions of 3-point functions involving a sequence of triangles such that two subsequent triangles have one vertex in common. For instance, 4-point function reduces to an expression in which one integrates over the positions
of the common vertex of two triangles whose other vertices have fixed. For n-point functions one has $\mathrm{n}-3$ freely varying intermediate points in the representation in terms of 3 -point functions.

The application of fusion rules assigns to a line segment connecting the two points $s_{k}$ and $s_{l}$ a triangle spanned by $s_{k}, s_{l}$ and $s_{r}$. This triangle should be symplectic invariant in some sense and its symplectic area $A_{k l m}$ would define the basic variable in terms of which the fusion rule could be expressed as $C_{k l m}=f\left(A_{k l m}\right)$, where $f$ is fixed by some constraints. Note that $A_{k l m}$ has also interpretations as solid angle and magnetic flux.

### 5.2 What conditions could fix the symplectic triangles?

The basic question is how to identify the symplectic triangles. The basic criterion is certainly the symplectic invariance: if one has found N-D symplectic algebra, symplectic transformations of $S^{2}$ must provide a new one. This is guaranteed if the areas of the symplectic triangles remain invariant under symplectic transformations. The questions are how to realize this condition and whether it might be replaced with a weaker one. There are two approaches to the problem.

### 5.2.1 Physics inspired approach

In the first approach inspired by classical physics symplectic invariance for the edges is interpreted in the sense that they correspond to the orbits of a charged particle in a magnetic field defined by the Kähler form. Symplectic transformation induces only a $U(1)$ gauge transformation and leaves the orbit of the charged particle invariant if the vertices are not affected since symplectic transformations are not allowed to act on the orbit directly in this approach. The general functional form of the structure constants $C_{k l m}$ as a function $f\left(A_{k l m}\right)$ of the symplectic area should guarantee fusion rules.

If the action of the symplectic transformations does not affect the areas of the symplectic triangles, the construction is invariant under general symplectic transformations. In the case of uncharged particle this is not the case since the edges are pieces of geodesics: in this case however fusion algebra however trivializes so that one cannot conclude anything. In the case of charged particle one might hope that the area remains invariant under general symplectic transformations whose action is induced from the action on vertices. The equations of motion for a charged particle involve a Kähler metric determined by the symplectic structure and one might hope that this is enough to achieve this miracle. If this is not the case - as it might well be - one might hope that although the areas of the triangles are not preserved, the triangles are mapped to each other in such a manner that the fusion algebra rules remain intact with a proper choice of the function $f\left(A_{k l m}\right)$. One could also consider the possibility that the function $f\left(A_{k l m}\right)$ is dictated from the condition that the it remains invariant under symplectic transformations. It however turns that this approach does not work as such.

### 5.2.2 Category theoretical approach

The second realization is guided by the basic idea of category theoretic thinking: the properties of an object are determined its relationships to other objects. Rather than postulating that the symplectic triangle is something which depends solely on the three points involved via some geometric notion like that of geodesic line of orbit of charged particle in magnetic field, one assumes that the symplectic triangle reflects the properties of the fusion algebra, that is the relations of the symplectic triangle to other symplectic triangles. Thus one must assign to each triplet $\left(s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right)$ of points of $S^{2}$ a triangle just from the requirement that braided associativity holds true for the fusion algebra.

Symplectic triangles would not be unique in this approach. All symplectic transformations leaving the $N$ points fixed and thus generated by Hamiltonians vanishing at these points would
give new gauge equivalent realizations of the fusion algebra and deform the edges of the symplectic triangles without affecting their area. One could even say that symplectic triangulation defines a new kind geometric structure in $S^{2}$.

The elegant feature of this approach is that one can in principle construct the fusion algebra without any reference to its geometric realization just from the braided associativity and nilpotency conditions and after that search for the geometric realizations. Fusion algebra has also a hierarchy of discrete variant in which the integral over intermediate points in fusion is replaced by a sum over a fixed discrete set of points and this variant is what finite measurement resolution implies. In this case it is relatively easy to see if the geometric realization of a given abstract fusion algebra is possible.

The two approaches do not exclude each other if the motion of charged particle in $S^{2}$ selects one representative amongst all possible candidates for the edge of the symplectic triangle. Kind of gauge choice would be in question. This aspect encourages to consider seriously also the first option. It however turns out that the physics based approach does not look plausible as such. A fusion of the two approaches can be however imagined. The replacement of the geodesics or orbits of Kähler charged particle with orbits within measurement resolution conforms with the basic spirit of the approach. The global option would restrict the allowed curves within tubular neighborhoods of orbits with thickness determined by the length scale resolution and pose the length scale cutoff in strong sense. Symplectic invariance would be obtained within measurement resolution.

### 5.3 Associativity conditions and braiding

The generalized fusion rules follow from the associativity condition for $n$-point functions modulo phase factor if one requires that the factor assignable to n-point function has interpretation as npoint function. Without this condition associativity would be trivially satisfied by using a product of various bracketing structures for the $n$ fields appearing in the n-point function. In conformal field theories the phase factor defining the associator is expressible in terms of the phase factor associated with permutations represented as braidings and the same is expected to be true also now.

1. Already in the case of 4 -point function there are three different choices corresponding to the 4 possibilities to connect the fixed points $s_{k}$ and the varying point $s_{r}$ by lines. The options are (1-2, 3-4), (1-3,2-4), and (1-4,2-3) and graphically they correspond to s-, t-, and u-channels in string diagrams satisfying also this kind of fusion rules. The basic condition would be that same amplitude results irrespective of the choice made. The duality conditions guarantee associativity in the formation of the n-point amplitudes without any further assumptions. The reason is that the writing explicitly the expression for a particular bracketing of n-point function always leads to some bracketing of one particular 4-point function and if duality conditions hold true, the associativity holds true in general. To be precise, in quantum theory associativity must hold true only in projective sense, that is only modulo a phase factor.
2. This framework encourages category theoretic approach. Besides different bracketing there are different permutations of the vertices of the triangle. These permutations can induce a phase factor to the amplitude so that braid group representations are enough. If one has representation for the basic braiding operation as a quantum phase $q=\exp (i 2 \pi / N)$, the phase factors relating different bracketings reduce to a product of these phase factors since $(A B) C$ is obtained from $A(B C)$ by a cyclic permutation involving to permutations represented as a braiding. Yang-Baxter equations express the reduction of associator to braidings. In the general category theoretical setting associators and braidings correspond to natural isomorphisms leaving category theoretical structure invariant.
3. By combining the duality rules with the condition that 4-point amplitude vanishes, when any two points co-incide, one obtains from $s_{k}=s_{l}$ and $s_{m}=s_{n}$ the condition stating that the sum (or integral in possibly existing continuum version) of $U^{2}\left(A_{k l m}\right)|f|^{2}\left(x_{k m r}\right)$ over the third point $s_{r}$ vanishes. This requires that the phase factor $U$ is non-trivial so that $Q$ must be non-vanishing if one accepts the identification of the phase factor as Bohm-Aharonov phase.
4. Braiding operation gives naturally rise to a quantum phase. A good guess is that braiding operation maps triangle to its complement since only in this manner orientation is preserved so that area is $A_{k l m}$ is mapped to $A_{k l m}-4 \pi$. If the $f$ is proportional to the exponent $\exp \left(-A_{k l m} Q\right)$, braiding operation induces a complex phase factor $q=\exp (-i 4 \pi Q)$.
5. For half-integer values of $Q$ the algebra is commutative. For $Q=M / N$, where $M$ and $N$ have no common factors, only braided commutativity holds true for $N \geq 3$ just as for quantum groups characterizing also Jones inclusions of HFFs. For $N=4$ anti-commutativity and associativity hold true. Charge fractionization would correspond to non-trivial braiding and presumably to non-standard values of Planck constant and coverings of $M^{4}$ or $C P_{2}$ depending on whether $S^{2}$ corresponds to a sphere of light-cone boundary or homologically trivial geodesic sphere of $C P_{2}$.

### 5.4 Finite-dimensional version of the fusion algebra

Algebraic discretization due to a finite measurement resolution is an essential part of quantum TGD. In this kind of situation the symplectic fields would be defined in a discrete set of $N$ points of $S^{2}$ : natural candidates are subsets of points of p-adic variants of $S^{2}$. Rational variant of $S^{2}$ has as its points points for which trigonometric functions of $\theta$ and $\phi$ have rational values and there exists an entire hierarchy of algebraic extensions. The interpretation for the resulting breaking of the rotational symmetry would be a geometric correlate for the choice of quantization axes in quantum measurement and the book like structure of the imbedding space would be direct correlate for this symmetry breaking. This approach gives strong support for the category theory inspired philosophy in which the symplectic triangles are dictated by fusion rules.

### 5.4.1 General observations about the finite-dimensional fusion algebra

1. In this kind of situation one has an algebraic structure with a finite number of field values with integration over intermediate points in fusion rules replaced with a sum. The most natural option is that the sum is over all points involved. Associativity conditions reduce in this case to conditions for a finite set of structure constants vanishing when two indices are identical. The number $M(N)$ of non-vanishing structure constants is obtained from the recursion formula $M(N)=(N-1) M(N-1)+(N-2) M(N-2)+\ldots+3 M(3)=N M(N-1)$, $M(3)=1$ given $M(4)=4, M(5)=20, M(6)=120, \ldots$ With a proper choice of the set of points associativity might be achieved. The structure constants are necessarily complex so that also the complex conjugate of the algebra makes sense.
2. These algebras resemble nilpotent algebras ( $x^{n}=0$ for some $n$ ) and Grassmann algebras ( $x^{2}=0$ always) in the sense that also the products of the generating elements satisfy $x^{2}=0$ as one can find by using duality conditions on the square of a product $x=y z$ of two generating elements. Also the products of more than $N$ generating elements necessary vanish by braided commutativity so that nilpotency holds true. The interpretation in terms of measurement resolution is that partonic states and vertices can involve at most $N$ fermions in this measurement resolution. Elements anti-commute for $q=-1$ and commute for $q=1$ and the possibility to express the product of two generating elements as a sum of generating
elements distinguishes these algebras from Grassman algebras. For $q=-1$ these algebras resemble Lie-algebras with the difference that associativity holds true in this particular case.
3. I have not been able to find whether this kind of hierarchy of algebras corresponds to some well-known algebraic structure with commutativity and associativity possibly replaced with their braided counterparts. Certainly these algebras would be category theoretical generalization of ordinary algebras for which commutativity and associativity hold true in strict sense.
4. One could forget the representation of structure constants in terms of triangles and think these algebras as abstract algebras. The defining equations are $x_{i}^{2}=0$ for generators plus braided commutativity and associativity. Probably there exists solutions to these conditions. One can also hope that one can construct braided algebras from commutative and associative algebras allowing matrix representations. Note that the solution the conditions allow scalings of form $C_{k l m} \rightarrow \lambda_{k} \lambda_{l} \lambda_{m} C_{k l m}$ as symmetries.

### 5.4.2 Formulation and explicit solution of duality conditions in terms of inner product

Duality conditions can be formulated in terms of an inner product in the function space associated with $N$ points and this allows to find explicit solutions to the conditions.

1. The idea is to interpret the structure constants $C_{k l m}$ as wave functions $C_{k l}$ in a discrete space consisting of $N$ points with the standard inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle C_{k l}, C_{m n}\right\rangle=\sum_{r} C_{k l r} \bar{C}_{m n r} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. The associativity conditions for a trivial braiding can be written in terms of the inner product as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle C_{k l}, \bar{C}_{m n}\right\rangle=\left\langle C_{k m}, \bar{C}_{l n}\right\rangle=\left\langle C_{k n}, \bar{C}_{m l}\right\rangle . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. Irrespective of whether the braiding is trivial or not, one obtains for $k=m$ the orthogonality conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle C_{k l}, \bar{C}_{k n}\right\rangle=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $k$ one has basis of $N-1$ wave functions labeled by $l \neq k$, and the conditions state that the elements of basis and conjugate basis are orthogonal so that conjugate basis is the dual of the basis. The condition that complex conjugation maps basis to a dual basis is very special and is expected to determine the structure constants highly uniquely.
4. One can also find explicit solutions to the conditions. The most obvious trial is based on orthogonality of function basis of circle providing representation for $Z_{N-2}$ and is following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k l m}=E_{k l m} \times \exp \left(i \phi_{k}+\phi_{l}+\phi_{m}\right), \quad \phi_{m}=\frac{n(m) 2 \pi}{N-2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $E_{k l m}$ is non-vanishing only if the indices have different values. The ansatz reduces the conditions to the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r} E_{k l r} E_{m n r} \exp \left(i 2 \phi_{r}\right)=\sum_{r} E_{k m r} E_{l n r} \exp \left(i 2 \phi_{r}\right)=\sum_{r} E_{k n r} E_{m l r} \exp \left(i 2 \phi_{r}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of braiding one can allow overall phase factors. Orthogonality conditions reduce to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r} E_{k l r} E_{k n r} \exp \left(i 2 \phi_{r}\right)=0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the integers $n(m), m \neq k, l$ span the range $(0, N-3)$ ortogonality conditions are satisfied if one has $E_{k l r}=1$ when the indices are different. This guarantees also duality conditions since the inner products involving $k, l, m, n$ reduce to the same expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r \neq k, l, m, n} \exp \left(i 2 \phi_{r}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

5. For a more general choice of phases the coefficients $E_{k l m}$ must have values differing from unity and it is not clear whether the duality conditions can be satisfied in this case.

### 5.4.3 Do fusion algebras form little disk operad?

The improvement of measurement resolution means that one adds further points to an existing set of points defining a discrete fusion algebra so that a small disk surrounding a point is replaced with a little disk containing several points. Hence the hierarchy of fusion algebras might be regarded as a realization of a little disk operad [20] and there would be a hierarchy of homomorphisms of fusion algebras induced by the fusion. The inclusion homomorphism should map the algebra elements of the added points to the algebra element at the center of the little disk.

A more precise prescription goes as follows.

1. The replacement of a point with a collection of points in the little disk around it replaces the original algebra element $\phi_{k_{0}}$ by a number of new algebra elements $\phi_{K}$ besides already existing elements $\phi_{k}$ and brings in new structure constants $C_{K L M}, C_{K L k}$ for $k \neq k_{0}$, and $C_{K l m}$.
2. The notion of improved measurement resolution allows to conclude

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{K L k}=0, \quad k \neq k_{0}, \quad C_{K l m}=C_{k_{0} l m} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. In the homomorphism of new algebra to the original one the new algebra elements and their products should be mapped as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{K} \rightarrow \phi_{k_{0}} \\
& \phi_{K} \phi_{L} \rightarrow \phi_{k_{0}}^{2}=0 \quad, \quad \phi_{K} \phi_{l} \rightarrow \phi_{k_{0}} \phi_{l} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Expressing the products in terms of structure constants gives the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{M} C_{K L M}=0, \quad \sum_{r} C_{K l r}=\sum_{r} C_{k_{0} l r}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general ansatz for the structure constants based on roots of unity guarantees that the conditions hold true.
4. Note that the resulting algebra is more general than that given by the basic ansatz since the improvement of the measurement resolution at a given point can correspond to different value of $N$ as that for the original algebra given by the basic ansatz. Therefore the original ansatz gives only the basic building bricks of more general fusion algebras. By repeated local improvements of the measurement resolution one obtains an infinite hierarchy of algebras labeled by trees in which each improvement of measurement resolution means the splitting of the branch with arbitrary number $N$ of branches. The number of improvements of the measurement resolution defining the height of the tree is one invariant of these algebras. The fusion algebra operad has a fractal structure since each point can be replaced by any fusion algebra.

### 5.4.4 How to construct geometric representation of the discrete fusion algebra?

Assuming that solutions to the fusion conditions are found, one could try to find whether they allow geometric representations. Here the category theoretical philosophy shows its power.

1. Geometric representations for $C_{k l m}$ would result as functions $f\left(A_{k l m}\right)$ of the symplectic area for the symplectic triangles assignable to a set of $N$ points of $S^{2}$.
2. If the symplectic triangles can be chosen freely apart from the area constraint as the category theoretic philosophy implies, it should be relatively easy to check whether the fusion conditions can be satisfied. The phases of $C_{k l m}$ dictate the areas $A_{k l m}$ rather uniquely if one uses Bohm-Aharonov ansatz for a fixed the value of $Q$. The selection of the points $s_{k}$ would be rather free for phases near unity since the area of the symplectic triangle associated with a given triplet of points can be made arbitrarily small. Only for the phases far from unity the points $s_{k}$ cannot be too close to each other unless $Q$ is very large. The freedom to chose the points rather freely conforms with the general view about the finite measurement resolution as the origin of discretization.
3. The remaining conditions are on the moduli $\left|f\left(A_{k l m}\right)\right|$. In the discrete situation it is rather easy to satisfy the conditions just by fixing the values of $f$ for the particular triangles involved: $\left|f\left(A_{k l m}\right)\right|=\left|C_{k l m}\right|$. For the exact solution to the fusion conditions $\left|f\left(A_{k l m}\right)\right|=1$ holds true.
4. Constraints on the functional form of $\left|f\left(A_{k l m}\right)\right|$ for a fixed value of $Q$ can be deduced from the correlation between the modulus and phase of $C_{k l m}$ without any reference to geometric representations. For the exact solution of fusion conditions there is no correlation.
5. If the phase of $C_{k l m}$ has $A_{k l m}$ as its argument, the decomposition of the phase factor to a sum of phase factors means that the $A_{k l m}$ is sum of contributions labeled by the vertices. Also the symplectic area defined as a magnetic flux over the triangle is expressible as sum of the quantities $\int A_{\mu} d x^{\mu}$ associated with the edges of the triangle. These fluxes should correspond to the fluxes assigned to the vertices deduced from the phase factors of $\Psi\left(s_{k}\right)$. The fact that vertices are ordered suggest that the phase of $\Psi\left(s_{j}\right)$ fixes the value of $\int A_{\mu} d x^{\mu}$ for an edge of the triangle starting from $s_{k}$ and ending to the next vertex in the ordering. One must find edges giving a closed triangle and this should be possible. The option for which edges correspond to geodesics or to solutions of equations of motion for a charged particle in magnetic field is not flexible enough to achieve this purpose.
6. The quantization of the phase angles as multiples of $2 \pi /(N-2)$ in the case of $N$-dimensional fusion algebra has a beautiful geometric correlate as a quantization of symplecto-magnetic fluxes identifiable as symplectic areas of triangles defining solid angles as multiples of $2 \pi /(N-$ $2)$. The generalization of the fusion algebra to p-adic case exists if one allows algebraic extensions containing the phase factors involved. This requires the allowance of phase factors $\exp (i 2 \pi / p), p$ a prime dividing $N-2$. Only the exponents $\exp \left(i \int A_{\mu} d x^{\mu}\right)=\exp (i n 2 \pi /(N-$ $2)$ ) exist p-adically. The p-adic counterpart of the curve defining the edge of triangle exists if the curve can be defined purely algebraically (say as a solution of polynomial equations with rational coefficients) so that p-adic variant of the curve satisfies same equations.

### 5.4.5 Does a generalization to the continuous case exist?

The idea that a continuous fusion algebra could result as a limit of its discrete version does not seem plausible. The reason is that the spatial variation of the phase of the structure constants increases as the spatial resolution increases so that the phases $\exp (i \phi(s)$ cannot be continuous at continuum limit. Also the condition $E_{k l m}=1$ for $k \neq l \neq m$ satisfied by the explicit solutions to fusion rules fails to have direct generalization to continuum case.

To see whether the continuous variant of fusion algebra can exist, one can consider an approximate generalization of the explicit construction for the discrete version of the fusion algebra by the effective replacement of points $s_{k}$ with small disks which are not allowed to intersect. This would mean that the counterpart $E\left(s_{k}, s_{l}, s_{m}\right)$ vanishes whenever the distance between two arguments is below a cutoff a small radius $d$. Puncturing corresponds physically to the cutoff implied by the finite measurement resolution.

1. The ansatz for $C_{k l m}$ is obtained by a direct generalization of the finite-dimensional ansatz:

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{k l m}=\kappa_{s_{k}, s_{l}, s_{m}} \Psi\left(s_{k}\right) \Psi\left(s_{l}\right) \Psi\left(s_{m}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa_{s_{k}, s_{l}, s_{m}}$ vanishes whenever the distance of any two arguments is below the cutoff distance and is otherwise equal to 1 .
2. Orthogonality conditions read as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi\left(s_{k}\right) \Psi\left(s_{l}\right) \int \kappa_{s_{k}, s_{l}, s_{r}} \kappa_{s_{k}, s_{n}, s_{r}} \Psi^{2}\left(s_{m}\right) d \mu\left(s_{r}\right)=\Psi\left(s_{k}\right) \Psi\left(s_{l}\right) \int_{S^{2}\left(s_{k}, s l, s_{n}\right)} \Psi^{2}\left(s_{r}\right) d \mu\left(s_{r}\right)=0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resulting condition reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S^{2}\left(s_{k}, s l, s_{n}\right)} \Psi^{2}\left(s_{r}\right) d \mu\left(s_{r}\right)=0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition holds true for any pair $s_{k}, s_{l}$ and this might lead to difficulties.
3. The general duality conditions are formally satisfied since the expression for all fusion products reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
X & \Psi\left(s_{k}\right) \Psi\left(s_{l}\right) \Psi\left(s_{m}\right) \Psi\left(s_{n}\right) X \\
& =\int_{S^{2}} \kappa_{s_{k}, s_{l}, s_{m}, s_{n}} \Psi\left(s_{r}\right) d \mu\left(s_{r}\right) \\
& =\int_{S^{2}\left(s_{k}, s_{l}, s_{m}, s_{n}\right)} \Psi\left(s_{m}\right) d \mu\left(s_{r}\right) \\
& =-\int_{D^{2}\left(s_{i}\right)} \Psi^{2}\left(s_{r}\right) d \mu\left(s_{r}\right), \quad i=k, l, s, m \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

These conditions state that the integral of $\Psi^{2}$ any disk of fixed radius $d$ is same: this result follows also from the orthogonality condition. This condition might be difficult to satisfy exactly and the notion of finite measurement resolution might be needed. For instance, it might be necessary to restrict the consideration to a discrete lattice of points which would lead back to a discretized version of algebra. Thus it seems that the continuum generalization of the proposed solution to fusion rules does not work.

## 6 Could operads allow the formulation of the generalized Feynman rules?

The previous discussion of symplectic fusion rules leaves open many questions.

1. How to combine symplectic and conformal fields to what might be called symplecto-conformal fields?
2. The previous discussion applies only in super-canonical degrees of freedom and the question is how to generalize the discussion to super Kac-Moody degrees of freedom.
3. How four-momentum and its conservation in the limits of measurement resolution enters this picture?
4. At least two operads related to measurement resolution seem to be present: the operads formed by the symplecto-conformal fields and by generalized Feynman diagrams. For generalized Feynman diagrams causal diamond $(C D)$ is the basic object whereas disks of $S^{2}$ are the basic objects in the case of symplecto-conformal QFT with a finite measurement resolution. These two different views about finite measurement resolution should be more or less equivalent and one should understand this equivalence at the level of details.
5. Is it possible to formulate generalized Feynman diagrammatics and improved measurement resolution algebraically?

### 6.1 How to combine conformal fields with symplectic fields?

The conformal fields of conformal field theory should be somehow combined with symplectic scalar field to form what might be called symplecto-conformal fields.

1. The simplest thing to do is to multiply ordinary conformal fields by a symplectic scalar field so that the fields would be restricted to a discrete set of points for a given realization of N-dimensional fusion algebra. The products of these symplecto-conformal fields at different points would define a finite-dimensional algebra and the products of these fields at same point could be assumed to vanish.
2. There is a continuum of geometric realizations of the symplectic fusion algebra since the edges of symplectic triangles can be selected rather freely. The integrations over the coordinates $z_{k}$ (most naturally the complex coordinate of $S^{2}$ transforming linearly under rotations around quantization axes of angular momentum) restricted to the circle appearing in the definition of simplest stringy amplitudes would thus correspond to the integration over various geometric realizations of a given $N$-dimensional symplectic algebra.

Fusion algebra realizes the notion of finite measurement resolution. One implication is that all $n$-point functions vanish for $n>N$. Second implication could be that the points appearing in the geometric realizations of $N$-dimensional symplectic fusion algebra have some minimal distance. This would imply a cutoff to the multiple integrals over complex coordinates $z_{k}$ varying along circle giving the analogs of stringy amplitudes. This cutoff is not absolutely necessary since the integrals defining stringy amplitudes are well-defined despite the singular behavior of n-point functions. One can also ask whether it is wise to introduce a cutoff that is not necessary and whether fusion algebra provides only a justification for the $1+i \epsilon$ prescription to avoid poles used to obtain finite integrals.

The fixed values for the quantities $\int A_{\mu} d x^{\mu}$ along the edges of the symplectic triangles could indeed pose a lower limit on the distance between the vertices of symplectic triangles. Whether this occurs depends on what one precisely means with symplectic triangle.

1. The conformally invariant condition that the angles between the edges at vertices are smaller than $\pi$ for triangle and larger than $\pi$ for its conjugate is not enough to exclude loopy edges and one would obtain ordinary stringy amplitudes multiplied by the symplectic phase factors. The outcome would be an integral over arguments $z_{1}, z_{2}, . . z_{n}$ for standard stringy n-point amplitude multiplied by a symplectic phase factor which is piecewise constant in the integration domain.
2. The condition that the points at different edges of the symplectic triangle can be connected by a geodesic segment belonging to the interior of the triangle is much stronger and would induce a length scale cutoff since loops cannot be used to create large enough value of $\int A_{\mu} d x^{\mu}$ for a given side of triangle. Symplectic invariance would be obtained for small enough symplectic transformations. How to realize this cutoff at the level of calculations is not clear. One could argue that this problem need not have any nice solution and since finite measurement resolution requires only finite calculational resolution, the approximation allowing loopy edges is acceptable.
3. The restriction of the edges of the symplectic triangle within a tubular neighborhood of a geodesic -more more generally an orbit of charged particle - with thickness determined by the length scale resolution in $S^{2}$ would also introduce the length scale cutoff with symplectic invariance within measurement resolution.

Symplecto-conformal should form an operad. This means that the improvement of measurement resolution should correspond also to an algebra homomorphism in which super-canonical symplecto-conformal fields in the original resolution are mapped by algebra homomorphism into fields which contain sum over products of conformal fields at different points: for the symplectic parts of field the products reduces always to a sum over the values of field. For instance, if the field at point $s$ is mapped to an average of fields at points $s_{k}$, nilpotency condition $x^{2}=0$ is satisfied.

### 6.2 Symplecto-conformal fields in Super-Kac-Moody sector

The picture described above is an over-simplification since it applies only in super-canonical degrees of freedom. The vertices of generalized Feynman diagrams are absent from the description and
$C P_{2}$ Kähler form induced to space-time surface which is absolutely essential part of quantum TGD is nowhere visible in the treatment.

How should one bring in Super Kac-Moody (SKM) algebra representing the stringy degrees of freedom in the conventional sense of the world? The condition that the basic building bricks are same for the treatment of these degrees of freedom is a valuable guideline.

1. In the transition from super-canonical to SKM degrees of freedom the light-cone boundary is replaced with the light-like 3 -surface $X^{3}$ representing the light-like random orbit of parton and serving as the basic dynamical object of quantum TGD. The sphere $S^{2}$ of light-cone boundary is in turn replaced with a partonic 2 -surface $X^{2}$. This suggests how to proceed.
2. In the case of SKM algebra the symplectic fusion algebra is represented geometrically as points of partonic 2-surface $X^{2}$ by replacing the symplectic form of $S^{2}$ with the induced $C P_{2}$ symplectic form at the partonic 2 -surface and defining $U(1)$ gauge field. This gives similar hierarchy of symplecto-conformal fields as in the super-canonical case. This also realizes the crucial aspects of the classical dynamics defined by Kähler action. In particular, for vacuum 2-surfaces symplectic fusion algebra trivializes since Kähler magnetic fluxes vanish identically and 2-surfaces near vacua require a large value of $N$ for the dimension of the fusion algebra since the available Kähler magnetic fluxes are small.
3. In super-canonical case the projection along light-like ray allows to map the points at the light-cone boundaries of $C D$ to points of same sphere $S^{2}$. In the case of light-like 3-surfaces light-like geodesics representing braid strands allow to map the points of the partonic twosurfaces at the future and past light-cone boundaries to the partonic 2-surface representing the vertex. The earlier proposal was that the ends of strands meet at the partonic 2 -surface so that braids would replicate at vertices. The properties of symplectic fields would however force identical vanishing of the vertices if this were the case. There is actually no reason to assume this condition and with this assumption vertices involving total number $N$ of incoming and outgoing strands correspond to symplecto-conformal $N$-point function as is indeed natural. Also now Kähler magnetic flux induces cutoff distance.
4. SKM braids reside at light-like 3 -surfaces representing lines of generalized Feynman diagrams. If super-canonical braids are needed at all, they must be assigned to the two light-like boundaries of $C D$ meeting each other at the sphere $S^{2}$ at which future and past directed light-cones meet.

### 6.3 The treatment of four-momentum and other quantum numbers

Four-momentum enjoys a special role in super-canonical and SKM representations in that it does not correspond to a quantum number assignable to the generators of these algebras. It would be nice if the somewhat mysterious phase factors associated with the representation of the symplectic algebra could code for the four-momentum - or rather the analogs of plane waves representing eigenstates of four-momentum at the points associated with the geometric representation of the symplectic fusion algebra. The situation is more complex as the following considerations show.

### 6.3.1 The representation of longitudinal momentum in terms of phase factors

1. The generalized coset representation for super-canonical and SKM algebras implies Equivalence Principle in the generalized sense that the differences of the generators of two super Virasoro algebras annihilate the physical states. In particular, the four-momenta associated with super-canonical resp. SKM degrees of freedom are identified as inertial resp. gravitational four- momenta and are equal by Equivalence Principle. The question is whether
four-momentum could be coded in both algebras in terms of non-integrable phase factors appearing in the representations of the symplectic fusion algebras.
2. Four different phase factors are needed if all components of four-momentum are to be coded. Both number theoretical vision about quantum TGD and the realization of the hierarchy of Planck constants assign to each point of space-time surface the same plane $M^{2} \subset M^{4}$ having as the plane of non-physical polarizations. This condition allows to assign to a given light-like partonic 3-surface unique extremal of Kähler action defining the Kähler function as the value of Kähler action. Also p-adic mass calculations support the view that the physical states correspond to eigen states for the components of longitudinal momentum only (also the parton model for hadrons assumes this). This encourages to think that only $M^{2}$ part of fourmomentum is coded by the phase factors. Transversal momentum squared would be a well defined quantum number and determined from mass shell conditions for the representations of super-canonical (or equivalently SKM) conformal algebra much like in string model.
3. The phase factors associated with the symplectic fusion algebra mean a deviation from conformal n-point functions, and the innocent question is whether these phase factors could be identified as plane-wave phase factors associated with the transversal part of the fourmomentum so that the n-point functions would be strictly analogous with stringy amplitudes. In fact, the identification of the phase factors $\exp \left(i \int A_{\mu} d x^{\mu} / \hbar\right)$ along a path as a phase factors $\exp \left(i p_{L, k} \Delta m^{k}\right)$ defined by the ends of the path and associated with the longitudinal part of four-momentum would correspond to an integral form of covariant constancy condition $\frac{d x^{\mu}}{d s}\left(\partial_{\mu}-i A_{\mu}\right) \Psi=0$ along the edge of the symplectic triangle of more general path. Second phase factor would come from the integral along the (most naturally) light-like curve defining braid strand associated with the point in question. A geometric representation for the two projections of the gravitational four-momentum would thus result in SKM degrees of freedom and apart from the non-uniqueness related to the multiples of $2 \pi$ the components of $M^{2}$ momentum could be deduced from the phase factors. If one is satisfied with the projection of momentum in $M^{2}$, this is enough.
4. The phase factors assignable to $C P_{2}$ Kähler gauge potential are Lorentz invariant unlike the phase factors assignable to four-momentum. One can try to resolve the problem by noticing an important delicacy involved with the formulation of quantum TGD as almost topological QFT. In order to have a non-vanishing four-momentum it is necessary to assume that $C P_{2}$ Kähler form has Kähler gauge potential having $M^{4}$ projection, which is Lorentz invariant constant vector in the direction of the vector field defined by light-cone proper time. One cannot eliminate this part of Kähler gauge potential by a gauge transformation since the symplectic transformations of $C P_{2}$ do not induce genuine gauge transformations but only symmetries of vacuum extremals of Kähler action. The presence of the $M^{4}$ projection is necessary for having a non-vanishing gravitational mass in the fundamental theory relying on Chern-Simons action for light-like 3-surface and the magnitude of this vector brings gravitational constant into TGD as a fundamental constant and its value is dictated by quantum criticality.
5. Since the phase of the time-like phase factor is proportional to the increment of the proper time coordinate of light-cone, it is also Lorentz invariant! Since the selection of $S^{2}$ fixes a rest frame, one can however argue that the representation in terms of phases is only for the rest energy in the case of massive particle. Also number theoretic approach selects a preferred rest frame by assigning time direction to the hyper-quaternionic real unit. In the case of massless particle this interpretation does not work since the vanishing of the rest mass implies that light-like 3-surface is piece of light-cone boundary and thus vacuum extremal. p-Adic thermodynamics predicting small mass even for massless particles can save
the situation. Second possibility is that the phase factor defined by Kähler gauge potential is proportional to the Kähler charge of the particle and vanishes for massless particles.
6. This picture would mean that the phase factors assignable to the symplectic triangles have nothing to do with momentum. Because the space-like phase factor $\exp \left(i S_{z} \Delta \phi / \hbar\right)$ associated with the edge of the symplectic triangle is completely analogous to that for momentum, one can argue that the symplectic triangulation should define a kind of spin network utilized in discretized approaches to quantum gravity. The interpretation raises the question about the interpretation of the quantum numbers assignable to the Lorentz invariant phase factors defined by the $C P_{2}$ part of $C P_{2}$ Kähler gauge potential.
7. By generalized Equivalence Principle one should have two phase factors also in super-canonical degrees of freedom in order to characterize inertial four-momentum and spin. The inclusion of the phase factor defined by the radial integral along light-like radial direction of the light-cone boundary gives an additional phase factor if the gauge potential of the symplectic form of the light-cone boundary contains a gradient of the radial coordinate $r_{M}$ varying along light-rays. Gravitational constant would characterize the scale of the "gauge parts" of Kähler gauge potentials both in $M^{4}$ and $C P_{2}$ degrees of freedom. The identity of inertial and gravitational four-momenta means that super-canonical and SKM algebras represent one and same symplectic field in $S^{2}$ and $X^{2}$.
8. Equivalence Principle in the generalized form requires that also the super-canonical representation allows two additional Lorentz invariant phase factors. These phase factors are obtained if the Kähler gauge potential of the light-cone boundary has a gauge part also in $C P_{2}$. The invariance under $U(2) \subset S U(3)$ fixes the choice the gauge part to be proportional to the gradient of the $U(2)$ invariant radial distance from the origin of $C P_{2}$ characterizing the radii of 3 -spheres around the origin. Thus $M^{4} \times C P_{2}$ would deviate from a pure Cartesian product in a very delicate manner making possible to talk about almost topological QFT instead of only topological QFT.

### 6.3.2 The quantum numbers associated with phase factors for $C P_{2}$ parts of Kähler gauge potentials

Suppose that it is possible to assign two independent and different phase factors to the same geometric representation, in other words have two independent symplectic fields with the same geometric representation. The product of two symplectic fields indeed makes sense and satisfies the defining conditions. One can define prime symplectic algebras and decompose symplectic algebras to prime factors. Since one can allow permutations of elements in the products it becomes possible to detect the presence of product structure experimentally by detecting different combinations for products of phases caused by permutations realized as different combinations of quantum numbers assigned with the factors. The geometric representation for the product of $n$ symplectic fields would correspond to the assignment of $n$ edges to any pair of points. The question concerns the interpretation of the phase factors assignable to the $C P_{2}$ parts of Kähler gauge potentials of $S^{2}$ and $C P$, Kähler form.

1. The only reasonable interpretation for the two additional phase factors would be in terms of two quantum numbers having both gravitational and inertial variants and identical by Equivalence Principle. These quantum numbers should be Lorentz invariant since they are associated with the $C P_{2}$ projection of the Kähler gauge potential of $C P_{2}$ Kähler form.
2. Color hyper charge and isospin are mathematically completely analogous to the components of four-momentum so that a possible identification of the phase factors is as a representation of
these quantum numbers. The representation of plane waves as phase factors $\exp \left(i p_{k} \Delta m^{k} / \hbar\right)$ generalizes to the representation $\exp \left(i Q_{A} \Delta \Phi^{A} / \hbar\right)$, where $\Phi_{A}$ are the angle variables conjugate to the Hamiltonians representing color hyper charge and isospin. This representation depends on end points only so that the crucial symplectic invariance with respect to the symplectic transformations respecting the end points of the edge is not lost $(U(1)$ gauge transformation is induced by the scalar $j^{k} A_{k}$, where $j^{k}$ is the symplectic vector field in question).
3. One must be cautious with the interpretation of the phase factors as a representation for color hyper charge and isospin since a breaking of color gauge symmetry would result since the phase factors associated with different values of color isospin and hypercharge would be different and could not correspond to same edge of symplectic triangle. This is questionable since color group itself represents symplectic transformations. The construction of $C P_{2}$ as a coset space $S U(3) / U(2)$ identifies $U(2)$ as the holonomy group of spinor connection having interpretation as electro-weak group. Therefore also the interpretation of the phase factors in terms of em charge and weak charge can be considered. In TGD framework electro-weak gauge potential indeed suffer a non-trivial gauge transformation under color rotations so that the correlation between electro-weak quantum numbers and non-integrable phase factors in Cartan algebra of the color group could make sense. Electro-weak symmetry breaking would have a geometric correlate in the sense that different values of weak isospin cannot correspond to paths with same values of phase angles $\Delta \Phi^{A}$ between end points.
4. If the phase factors associated with the $M^{4}$ and $C P_{2}$ are assumed to be identical, the existence of geometric representation is guaranteed. This however gives constraints between rest mass, spin, and color (or electro-weak) quantum numbers.

### 6.3.3 Some general comments

Some further comments about phase factors are in order.

1. By number theoretical universality the plane wave factors associated with four-momentum must have values coming as roots of unity (just as for a particle in box consisting of discrete lattice of points). At light-like boundary the quantization conditions reduce to the condition that the value of light-like coordinate is rational of form $m / N$, if $N$ :th roots of unity are allowed.
2. In accordance with the finite measurement resolution of four-momentum, four-momentum conservation is replaced by a weaker condition stating that the products of phase factors representing incoming and outgoing four-momenta are identical. This means that positive and negative energy states at opposite boundaries of $C D$ would correspond to complex conjugate representations of the fusion algebra. In particular, the product of phase factors in the decomposition of the conformal field to a product of conformal fields should correspond to the original field value. This would give constraints on the trees physically possible in the operad formed by the fusion algebras. Quite generally, the phases expressible as products of phases $\exp (i n \pi / p)$, where $p \leq N$ is prime must be allowed in a given resolution and this suggests that the hierarchy of p-adic primes is involved. At the limit of very large $N$ exact momentum conservation should emerge.
3. Super-conformal invariance gives rise to mass shell conditions relating longitudinal and transversal momentum squared. The massivation of massless particles by Higgs mechanism and p-adic thermodynamics pose additional constraints to these phase factors.

### 6.4 What does the improvement of measurement resolution really mean?

To proceed one must give a more precise meaning for the notion of measurement resolution. Two different views about the improvement of measurement resolution emerge. The first one relies on the replacement of braid strands with braids applies in SKM degrees of freedom and the homomorphism maps symplectic fields into their products. The homomorphism based on the averaging of symplectic fields over added points consistent with the extension of fusion algebra described in previous section is very natural in super-canonical degrees of freedom. The directions of these two algebra homomorphisms are different. The question is whether both can be involved with both super-canonical and SKM case. Since the end points of SKM braid strands correspond to both super-canonical and SKM degrees of freedom, it seems that division of labor is the only reasonable option.

1. Quantum classical correspondence requires that measurement resolution has a purely geometric meaning. A purely geometric manner to interpret the increase of the measurement resolution is as a replacement of a braid strand with a braid in the improved resolution. If one assigns the phase factor assigned with the fusion algebra element with four-momentum, the conservation of the phase factor in the associated homomorphism is a natural constraint. The mapping of a fusion algebra element (strand) to a product of fusion algebra elements (braid) allows to realize this condition. Similar mapping of field value to a product of field values should hold true for conformal parts of the fields. There exists a large number equivalent geometric representations for a given symplectic field value so that one obtains automatically an averaging in conformal degrees of freedom. This interpretation for the improvement of measurement resolution looks especially natural for SKM degrees of freedom for which braids emerge naturally.
2. One can also consider the replacement of symplecto-conformal field with an average over the points becoming visible in the improved resolution. In super-canonical degrees of freedom this looks especially natural since the assignment of a braid with light-cone boundary is not so natural as with light-like 3-surface. This map does not conserve the phase factor but this could be interpreted as reflecting the fact that the values of the light-like radial coordinate are different for points involved. The proposed extension of the symplectic algebra proposed in the previous section conforms with this interpretation.
3. In the super-canonical case the improvement of measurement resolution means improvement of angular resolution at sphere $S^{2}$. In SKM sector it means improved resolution for the position at partonic 2-surface. For SKM algebra the increase of the measurement resolution related to the braiding takes place inside light-like 3-surface. This operation corresponds naturally to an addition of sub- $C D$ inside which braid strands are replaced with braids. This is like looking with a microscope a particular part of line of generalized Feynman graph inside $C D$ and corresponds to a genuine physical process inside parton. In super-canonical case the replacement of a braid strand with braid (at light-cone boundary) is induced by the replacement of the projection of a point of a partonic 2 -surface to $S^{2}$ with a a collection of points coming from several partonic 2-surfaces. This replaces the point $s$ of $S^{2}$ associated with $C D$ with a set of points $s_{k}$ of $S^{2}$ associated with sub- $C D$. Note that the solid angle spanned by these points can be rather larger so that zoom-up is in question.
4. The improved measurement resolution means that a point of $S^{2}\left(X^{2}\right)$ at boundary of $C D$ is replaced with a point set of $S^{2}\left(X^{2}\right)$ assignable to sub- $C D$. The task is to map the point set to a small disk around the point. Light-like geodesics along light-like $X^{3}$ defines this map naturally in both cases. In super-canonical case this map means scaling down of the solid angle spanned by the points of $S^{2}$ associated with sub- $C D$.

### 6.5 How do the operads formed by generalized Feynman diagrams and symplecto-conformal fields relate?

The discussion above leads to following overall view about the situation. The basic operation for both symplectic and Feynman graph operads corresponds to an improvement of measurement resolution. In the case of planar disk operad this means to a replacement of a white region of a map with smaller white regions. In the case of Feynman graph operad this means better space-time resolution leading to a replacement of generalized Feynman graph with a new one containing new sub- $C D$ bringing new vertices into daylight. For braid operad the basic operation means looking a braid strand with a microscope so that it can resolve into a braid: braid becomes a braid of braids. The latter two views are equivalent if sub- $C D$ contains the braid of braids.

The disks $D^{2}$ of the planar disk operad has natural counterparts in both super-canonical and SKM sector.

1. For the geometric representations of the symplectic algebra the image points vary in continuous regions of $S^{2}\left(X^{2}\right)$ since the symplectic area of the symplectic triangle is a highly flexible constraint. Posing the condition that any point at the edges of symplectic triangle can be connected to any another edge excludes symplectic triangles with loopy sides so that constraint becomes non-trivial. In fact, since two different elements of the symplectic algebra cannot correspond to the same point for a given geometric representation, each element must correspond to a connected region of $S^{2}\left(X^{2}\right)$. This allows a huge number of representations related by the symplectic transformations $S^{2}$ in super-canonical case and by the symplectic transformations of $C P_{2}$ in SKM case. In the case of planar disk operad different representations are related by isotopies of plane.
This decomposition to disjoint regions naturally correspond to the decomposition of the disk to disjoint regions in the case of planar disk operad and Feynman graph operad (allowing zero energy insertions). Perhaps one might say that $N$-dimensional elementary symplectic algebra defines an $N$-coloring of $S^{2}\left(S^{2}\right)$ which is however not the same thing as the 2coloring possible for the planar operad. TGD based view about Higgs mechanism leads to a decomposition of partonic 2-surface $X^{2}$ (its light-like orbit $X^{3}$ ) into conformal patches. Since also these decompositions correspond to effective discretizations of $X^{2}\left(X^{3}\right)$, these two decompositions would naturally correspond to each other.
2. In SKM sector disk $D^{2}$ of the planar disk operad is replaced with the partonic 2 -surface $X^{2}$ and since measurement resolution is a local notion, the topology of $X^{2}$ does not matter. The improvement of measurement resolution corresponds to the replacement of braid strand with braid and homomorphism is to the direction of improved spatial resolution.
3. In super-canonical case $D^{2}$ is replaced with the sphere $S^{2}$ of light-cone boundary. The improvement of measurement resolution corresponds to introducing points near the original point and the homomorphism maps field to its average. For the operad of generalized Feynman diagrams $C D$ defined by future and past directed light-cones is the basic object. Given $C D$ can be indeed mapped to sphere $S^{2}$ in a natural manner. The light-like boundaries of $C D \mathrm{~s}$ are metrically spheres $S^{2}$. The points of light-cone boundaries can be projected to any sphere at light-cone boundary. Since the symplectic area of the sphere corresponds to solid angle, the choice of the representative for $S^{2}$ does not matter. The sphere defined by the intersection of future and past light-cones of $C D$ however provides a natural identification of points associated with positive and negative energy parts of the state as points of the same sphere. The points of $S^{2}$ appearing in n-point function are replaced by point sets in a small disks around the $n$ points.
4. In both super-canonical and SKM sectors light-like geodesic along $X^{3}$ mediate the analog of the map gluing smaller disk to a hole of a disk in the case of planar disk operad defining the decomposition of planar tangles. In super-canonical sector the set of points at the sphere corresponding to a sub- $C D$ is mapped by SKM braid to the larger $C D$ and for a typical braid corresponds to a larger angular span at sub- $C D$. This corresponds to the gluing of $D^{2}$ along its boundaries to a hole in $D^{2}$ in disk operad. A scaling transformation allowed by the conformal invariance is in question. This scaling can have a non-trivial effect if the conformal fields have anomalous scaling dimensions.
5. Homomorphisms between the algebraic structures assignable to the basic structures of the operad (say tangles in the case of planar tangle operad) are an essential part of the power of the operad. These homomorphisms associated with super-canonical and SKM sector code for two views about improvement of measurement resolution and might lead to a highly unique construction of M-matrix elements.

The operad picture gives good hopes of understanding how M-matrices corresponding to a hierarchy of measurement resolutions can be constructed using only discrete data.

1. In this process the $n$-point function defining M-matrix element is replaced with a superposition of n-point functions for which the number of points is larger: $n \rightarrow \sum_{k=1, \ldots, m} n_{k}$. The numbers $n_{k}$ vary in the superposition. The points are also obtained by downwards scaling from those of smaller $S^{2}$. Similar scaling accompanies the composition of tangles in the case of planar disk operad. Algebra homomorphism property gives constraints on the compositeness and should govern to a high degree how the improved measurement resolution affects the amplitude. In the lowest order approximation the M-matrix element is just an n-point function for conformal fields of positive and negative energy parts of the state at this sphere and one would obtain ordinary stringy amplitude in this approximation.
2. Zero energy ontology means also that each addition in principle brings in a new zero energy insertion as the resolution is improved. Zero energy insertions describe actual physical processes in shorter scales in principle affecting the outcome of the experiment in longer time scales. Since zero energy states can interact with positive (negative) energy particles, zero energy insertions are not completely analogous to vacuum bubbles and cannot be neglected. In an idealized experiment these zero energy states can be assumed to be absent. The homomorphism property must hold true also in the presence of the zero energy insertions. Note that the Feynman graph operad reduces to planar disk operad in absence of zero energy insertions.

## 7 Possible other applications of category theory

It is not difficult to imagine also other applications of category theory in TGD framework.

### 7.1 Inclusions of HFFs and planar tangles

Finite index inclusions of HFFs are characterized by non-branched planar algebras for which only an even number of lines can emanate from a given disk. This makes possible a consistent coloring of the k-tangle by black and white by painting the regions separated by a curve using opposite colors. For more general algebras, also for possibly existing branched tangle algebras, the minimum number of colors is four by four-color theorem. For the description of zero energy states the 2color assumption is not needed so that the necessity to have general branched planar algebras is internally consistent. The idea about the inclusion of positive energy state space into the space of
negative energy states might be consistent with branched planar algebras and the requirement of four colors since this inclusion involves also conjugation and is thus not direct.

In [17] if was proposed that planar operads are associated with conformal field theories at sphere possessing defect lines separating regions with different color. In TGD framework and for branched planar algebras these defect lines would correspond to light-like 3-surfaces. For fermions one has single wormhole throat associated with topologically condensed $C P_{2}$ type extremal and the signature of the induced metric changes at the throat. Bosons correspond to pairs of wormhole throats associated with wormhole contacts connecting two space-time sheets modellable as a piece of $C P_{2}$ type extremal. Each boson thus corresponds to 2 lines within $C P_{2}$ radius so that in purely bosonic case the planar algebra can correspond to that associated with an inclusion of HFFs.

### 7.2 2-plectic structures and TGD

Chris Rogers and Alex Hoffnung have demonstrated [9] that the notion of symplectic structure generalizes to n-plectic structure and in $n=2$ case leads to a categorification of Lie algebra to 2-Lie-algebra. In this case the generalization replaces the closed symplectic 2 -form with a closed 3form $\omega$ and assigns to a subset of one-forms defining generalized Hamiltonians vector fields leaving the 3 -form invariant.

There are two equivalent definitions of the Poisson bracket in the sense that these Poisson brackets differ only by a gradient, which does not affect the vector field assignable to the Hamiltonian one-form. The first bracket is simply the Lie-derivate of Hamiltonian one form $G$ with respect to vector field assigned to $F$. Second bracket is contraction of Hamiltonian one-forms with the three-form $\omega$. For the first variant Jacobi identities hold true but Poisson bracket is antisymmetric only modulo gradient. For the second variant Jacobi identities hold true only modulo gradient but Poisson bracket is antisymmetric. This modulo property is in accordance with category theoretic thinking in which commutativity, associativity, antisymmetry,... hold true only up to isomorphism.

For 3-dimensional manifolds n=2-plectic structure has the very nice property that all one-forms give rise to Hamiltonian vector field. In this case any 3 -form is automatically closed so that a large variety of 2-plectic structures exists. In TGD framework the natural choice for the 3-form $\omega$ is as Chern-Simons 3 -form defined by the projection of the Kähler gauge potential to the light-like 3 -surface. Despite the fact the induced metric is degenerate, one can deduce the Hamiltonian vector field associated with the one-form using the general defining conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{v_{F}} \omega=d F \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the vanishing of the metric determinant appearing in the formal definition cancels out in the expression of the Hamiltonian vector field.

The explicit formula is obtained by writing $\omega$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega=K \epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \times \epsilon^{\mu \nu \delta} A_{\mu} J_{\nu \delta} \sqrt{g}=\epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \times C-S  \tag{17}\\
& C-S=K E^{\alpha \beta \gamma} A_{\alpha} J_{\beta \gamma} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here $E^{\alpha \beta \gamma}=\epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ holds true numerically and metric determinant, which vanishes for light-like 3 -surfaces, has disappeared.

The Hamiltonian vector field is the curl of $F$ divided by the Chern-Simons action density $C-S$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{F}^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma}\left(\partial_{\beta} F_{\gamma}-\partial_{\gamma} F_{\beta}\right) \sqrt{g}}{C-S \sqrt{g}}=\frac{1}{2} \times \frac{E^{\alpha \beta \gamma}\left(\partial_{\beta} F_{\gamma}-\partial_{\gamma} F_{\beta}\right)}{C-S} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamiltonian vector field multiplied by the dual of 3 -form multiplied by the metric determinant has a vanishing divergence and is analogous to a vector field generating volume preserving flow. and the value of Chern Simons 3 -form defines the analog of the metric determinant for light-like 3 -surfaces. The generalized Poisson bracket for Hamiltonian 1-forms defined by the contraction of the Hamiltonian 1-forms with $\omega$ is Hamiltonian 1-form and unique apart from gradient and the corresponding vector field is the commutator of the Hamiltonian vector fields.

The objection is that gauge invariance is broken since the expression for the vector field assigned to the Hamiltonian one-form depends on gauge. In TGD framework there is no need to worry since Kähler gauge potential has unique natural expression and the $U(1)$ gauge transformations of Kähler gauge potential induced by symplectic transformations of $\mathrm{CP}_{2}$ are not genuine gauge transformations but dynamical symmetries since the induced metric changes and space-time surface is deformed. Another important point is that Kähler gauge potential for a given CD has $\mathrm{M}^{4}$ part which is "pure gauge" constant Lorentz invariant vector and proportional to the inverse of gravitational constant $G$. Its ratio to $\mathrm{CP}_{2}$ radius squared is determined from electron mass by p-adic mass calculations and mathematically by quantum criticality fixing also the value of Kähler coupling strength.

### 7.3 TGD variant for the category nCob

John Baez has suggested that quantum field theories could be formulated as functors from the category of n-cobordisms to the category of Hilbert spaces [14, 15]. In TGD framework light-like 3 -surfaces containing the number theoretical braids define the analogs of 3 -cobordisms and surface property brings in new structure. The motion of topological condensed 3 -surfaces along 4 -D spacetime sheets brings in non-trivial topology analogous to braiding and not present in category nCob.

Intuitively it seems possible to speak about one-dimensional orbits of wormhole throats and -contacts (fermions and bosons) in background space-time (homological dimension). In this case linking or knotting are not possible since knotting is co-dimension 2 phenomenon and only objects whose homological dimensions sum up to $D-1$ can get linked in dimension $D$. String like objects could topologically condense along wormhole contact which is string like object. The orbits of closed string like objects are homologically co-dimension 2 objects and could get knotted if one does not allow space-time sheets describing un-knotting. The simplest examples are ordinary knots which are not allowed to evolve by forming self intersections. The orbits of point like wormhole contact and closed string like wormhole contact can get linked: a point particle moving through a closed string is basic dynamical example. There is no good reason preventing unknotting and unlinking in absolute sense.

### 7.4 Number theoretical universality and category theory

Category theory might be also a useful tool to formulate rigorously the idea of number theoretical universality and ideas about cognition. What comes into mind first are functors real to p-adic physics and vice versa. They would be obtained by composition of functors from real to rational physics and back to p-adic physics or vice versa. The functors from real to p-adic physics would provide cognitive representations and the reverse functors would correspond to the realization of intentional action. The functor mapping real 3 -surface to p-adic 3 -surfaces would be simple: interpret the equations of 3 -surface in terms of rational functions with coefficients in some algebraic extension of rationals as equations in arbitrary number field. Whether this description applies or is needed for 4-D space-time surface is not clear.

At the Hilbert space level the realization of these functors would be quantum jump in which quantum state localized to p-adic sector tunnels to real sector or vice versa. In zero energy ontology this process is allowed by conservation laws even in the case that one cannot assign classical conserved quantities to p-adic states (their definition as integrals of conserved currents
does not make sense since definite integral is not a well-defined concept in p-adic physics). The interpretation would be in terms of generalized M-matrix applying to cognition and intentionality. This M-matrix would have values in the field of rationals or some algebraic extension of rationals. Again a generalization of Connes tensor product is suggestive.

### 7.5 Category theory and fermionic parts of zero energy states as logical deductions

Category theory has natural applications to quantum and classical logic and theory of computation [15]. In TGD framework these applications are very closely related to quantum TGD itself since it is possible to identify the positive and negative energy pieces of fermionic part of the zero energy state as a pair of Boolean statements connected by a logical deduction, or rather- quantum superposition of them. An alternative interpretation is as rules for the behavior of the Universe coded by the quantum state of Universe itself. A further interpretation is as structures analogous to quantum computation programs with internal lines of Feynman diagram would represent communication and vertices computational steps and replication of classical information coded by number theoretical braids.

### 7.6 Category theory and hierarchy of Planck constants

Category theory might help to characterize more precisely the proposed geometric realization of the hierarchy of Planck constants explaining dark matter as phases with non-standard value of Planck constant. The situation is topologically very similar to that encountered for generalized Feynman diagrams. Singular coverings and factor spaces of $M^{4}$ and $C P_{2}$ are glued together along 2-D manifolds playing the role of object and space-time sheets at different vertices could be interpreted as arrows going through this object.
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